

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bay. 450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/839,987 04/20/2001<br>7590 09/12/2005 |             | Edward W. Porter     |                         | 3112             |  |
|  |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Edward W. Po                             | orter       |                      | CORRIELUS, JEAN M       |                  |  |
| Porter & Associ                          | iates       |                      |                         |                  |  |
| Suite 600                                |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| One Broadway                             |             | 2162                 |                         |                  |  |
| Cambridge, MA 02142                      |             |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/200 | •                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

| Application No. |                   | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
|                 | 09/839,987        | PORTER EDWARD W. |  |
| I               | Examiner          | Art Unit         |  |
|                 | Jean M. Corrielus | 2162             |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|---|---|---|--|
|   | Jean M. Corrielus   | 2162  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add  | ress                                   |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  |   | •   |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>  | n the same day as filing a Notice o<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl  | f Appeal. To avoid ab<br>ffidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 ( | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or           |
| b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of this Adv   |   | a final raigation, which are  | aria latar In na                       |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI   | f the final rejection.  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a<br>nd the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the  | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)                     | on fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))  | ), to avoid dismissal o   | of the appeal.                         |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   | f, will <u>not</u> be entered l<br>TE below);                             | because                                |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  | •   | educing or simplifying  | the issues for                         |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | jected claims.  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1   |   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>   |   | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                            |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>   |   | timely filed amondm   | ont conceling                          |
| the non-allowable claim(s).   | nowabie ii submitted in a separate  | , umery nied amendm   | ent canceling                          |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | will not be entered, or b)      wided below or appended.  ■ wided below or appended.  ■ will not be entered, or b)   ■ will not be entered and be | ill be entered and an   | explanation of                         |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   | Albertana and Alberta Collins   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affida   | vit or other evidence i   | is necessary                           |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S  | al and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                            | ils to provide a<br>1).                |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   | •   |  |
| 11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa  | nce because:                           |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s)   |  |
|   | •   | Jean M Corrielus Primary Examiner   | <i>7</i>                               |

Art Unit: 2162

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims raise new issues that would require further search and consideration..